

HOUSING STABILITY RENEWAL PROJECTS

Components	Sources	Calculation	Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	Full Points (Section Weight)
Performance Measures						
Length of Time Homeless						
1. Length of Time between project start date & Housing Move-in Date	ESG CAPER: 22c-Total Average length of time to housing <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	Average length of time to housing (Total) - # of days	75	76-90	>90	10
Exits to Permanent Housing						
2. Percentage of persons participating with a Permanent Exit Destination	ESG CAPER: 23c <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	Percent of persons who accomplished this measure (%)	85%	75-84%	<75%	15
New or increased Income						
3. Percentage of participants who gained or increased overall income from entry to exit.	ESG CAPER: Q19b, Rows 12 & 13 <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	Percent of persons who accomplished this measure (%)	20%	18-19%	<18%	10
Project Effectiveness						
4. Occupancy/Average Daily Unit Utilization	ESG CAPER: 8b <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	January Total + April Total + July Total + October Total=SUM SUM/4=AVG AVG/Proposed # from application	90%	80-89%	<80%	15
5. Costs are within local average cost for project type	Project application: Q10	STD Deviation				10
6. All Project Types: Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Housing First Assessment Questionnaire		13-15	10pts to 13 pts	<10	10
7. 50% of project enrollments are from Coordinated Entry match	Renewal Project Addendum	Number of enrollments that were referred from CE match/Number of total enrollments	50%	45-49%	<45%	10
Equity Factors						
Agency Leadership, Governance & Policies						
8. Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial AND leadership positions	Renewal Project Addendum		25%	10-24%	<10%	10
9. All Project Types: Applicant's Board of Directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (ex. homelessness, substance use, mental health, criminal justice involvement, frontline case manager, etc).	Board Roster identifying which members have lived experience		Yes		No	10
10. Recipient describes how they involve individuals & families with lived experience in homelessness in the delivery of services (ex. hiring people with lived experience)	Source: Project Application: Organizational Capacity to Address Racial Equity: Q3.		Recipient identifies 2 or more examples of how persons with lived experience shape the delivery of services	Recipient identifies 1 example of how persons with lived experience shape the delivery of services	Recipient identifies 0 examples	10
11. Recipient has reviewed internal policies & procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	Source: Project Application: Organizational Capacity to Address Racial Equity Q4.		Recipient describes 1 policy they identified and changed	Recipient has not yet reviewed policies & procedures, but provides a timeline for this review including responsible parties	Recipient has not reviewed policies & procedures and does not provide a timeline	10
Program Participant Outcomes						
12. Recipient has reviewed agency participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity and/or age	Renewal Project Addendum		Recipient describes 1 thing they learned about outcomes	Recipient has not yet disaggregated data, but describes plan & timeline for doing so	Recipient has not yet disaggregated data and does not provide a timeline	10
HMIS/Comparable Database						
13. HMIS Data Quality error rate is no higher than 5%.	ESG CAPER Q6a, Q6b, Q6c, Q6d, Q6e <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	See Renewal Data Quality tab	Meets all 19 Data Quality Standards	Meets 15-19 Data Quality Standards	Meets <15 Data Quality Standards	15
Financial						
14. Most recent agency audit demonstrating there were no unresolved material findings.	Last completed Agency Audit		Audit shows agency as low risk auditee & no findings	Audit shows agency as low risk auditee or no findings	Audit shows agency as high risk auditee AND findings	10
15. % of overall agency budget that this request equals	Source: Agency Operating Budget & Project		<25% of overall	25%-50%	>50% of overall	10

	Budget Calculation: Project funding request/Total operating budget		agency budget		agency budget	
16. Agency describes impact of not receiving full amount of funding requested on project sustainability	Renewal Project Addendum		YES	N/A	NO	5
17. Project expended at least 90% of total award	NCDHHS ESG Office		90% or greater	80-89%	<80%	10
Continuum of Care Activities						
18. Agency staff participates in Continuum of Care meetings & number of meetings attended	Source: CoC Participation and Coordination Agreement Form	Includes the following CoC meetings/activities: -CoC Full Membership -CoC Governing Board -CoC Committees -CoC Workgroups -PIT Count participation	10-12 Meetings Attended	7-9 Meetings Attended	<7 Meetings Attended	10
SUB TOTAL						190
Penalty						
Program did NOT submit Data Quality Report as outlined in the Char-Meck CoC Data Quality Monitoring Plan	Report was due 7/15/22					-10
Late Submittal of Documents						-15
FINAL TOTAL						

CRISIS RESPONSE RENEWAL PROJECTS

Components	Sources	Calculation	Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	Full Points (Section Weight)
Performance Measures						
1a: ES Programs only: Avg Length of Participation: Leavers	ESG CAPER: 22a2 <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	see Length of Particip (ES) tab	100 days	101-120 days	>120 days	15
1b: VSP Programs only: Avg Length of Participation: Leavers	ESG CAPER: 22a2 <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	see Length of Particip (VSP) tab	45	46-55	>55	15
2: ES Programs: Percentage of persons participating with a Permanent Exit Destination	ESG CAPER: 23c <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	Percent of persons who accomplished this measure (%)	40%	35-39%	<35%	10
1. SO Programs: Contact	ESG CAPER: 9a & 7a <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	Total persons contacted (9a)/Total persons served (7a)	35%	30-34%	<30%	10
2. SO Programs: Engagement	ESG CAPER 9b <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	Rate of engagement for all persons contacted	50%	45-49%	<49%	15
3. SO Programs: Percentage of participants who exit to any destination other than unsheltered	ESG CAPER: 23c <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	Percent of persons who accomplished this measure (%)	50%	45-49%	<45%	15
Project Effectiveness						
4. All Project Types: Costs are within local average cost for project type	Project application: Q10	STD Deviation				10
5. All Project Types: Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Housing First Assessment Questionnaire		13-15	10pts to 13 pts	<10	10
6. All Project Types: Project partners with Coordinated Entry to receive referrals	Project application: Q9		ES Only: Project matches open beds from CE VSP: Project describes how they connect clients to CE after assessing for safety SO: Project receives referrals from CE	N/A	Does not partner with CE	10
7. ES Programs: Occupancy/Average Daily Unit Utilization	ESG CAPER: 8b <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	January Total + April Total + July Total + October Total=SUM SUM/4=AVG AVG/Proposed # from application	55%	50-54%	<50%	10
8. SO Programs: Occupancy/Average Daily Unit Utilization	ESG CAPER: 8b <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/222</i>	January Total + April Total + July Total + October Total=SUM SUM/4=AVG AVG/Proposed # from application	55%	50-54%	<50%	10
Equity Factors						
Agency Leadership, Governance & Policies						

9. All Project Types: Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial AND leadership positions	Renewal Project Addendum		25%	10-24%	<10%	10
10. All Project Types: Applicant's Board of Directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (ex. homelessness, substance use, mental health, criminal justice involvement, frontline case manager, etc).	Board Roster identifying which members have lived experience		Yes	N/A	No	10
11. All Project Types: Recipient describes how they involve individuals & families with lived experience in homelessness in the delivery of services (ex. hiring people with lived experience)	Source: Project Application: Organizational Capacity to Address Racial Equity: Q3.		Recipient identifies 2 or more examples of how persons with lived experience shape the delivery of services	Recipient identifies 1 example of how persons with lived experience shape the delivery of services	Recipient identifies 0 examples	10
12. All Project Types: Recipient has reviewed internal policies & procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	Source: Project Application: Organizational Capacity to Address Racial Equity Q4.		Recipient describes 1 policy they identified and changed	Recipient has not yet reviewed policies & procedures, but provides a timeline for this review including responsible parties	Recipient has not reviewed policies & procedures and does not provide a timeline	10
Program Participant Outcomes						
13. All Project Types: Recipient has reviewed agency participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity and/or age	Renewal Project Addendum		Recipient describes 1 insight they learned about outcomes	Recipient has not yet disaggregated data, but describes plan & timeline for doing so	Recipient has not yet disaggregated data and does not provide a timeline	10
HMIS/Comparable Database						
14. HMIS Data Quality error rate is no higher than 5%.	ESG CAPER Q6a, Q6b, Q6c, Q6d, Q6e <i>Reporting period: 07/01/21-06/30/22</i>	See Renewal Data Quality tab	Meets all 19 Data Quality Standards	Meets 15-19 Data Quality Standards	Meets <15 Data Quality Standards	15
Financial			Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	
15. Most recent agency audit demonstrating there were no unresolved material findings.	Last completed Agency Audit		Audit shows agency as low risk auditee & no findings	Audit shows agency as low risk auditee or no findings	Audit shows agency as high risk auditee AND findings	10
16. % of overall agency budget that this request equals	Source: Agency Operating Budget & Project Budget Calculation: Project funding request/Total operating budget		<25% of overall agency budget	25%-50%	>50% of overall agency budget	10
17. Agency describes impact of not receiving full amount of funding requested on project sustainability	Renewal Project Addendum		YES	N/A	NO	5
18. Project expended at least 90% of 2021 award	NCDHHS ESG Office		90% or greater	80-89%	<80%	10
Continuum of Care Activities						
19. Agency staff participates in Continuum of Care meetings & number of meetings attended	Source: CoC Participation and Coordination Agreement Form	Includes the following CoC meetings/activities: -CoC Full Membership -CoC Governing Board -CoC Committees -CoC Workgroups -PIT Count participation	10-12 Meetings Attended	7-9 Meetings Attended	<7 Meetings Attended	10
SUB TOTAL						120
Penalty						
Program did NOT submit Data Quality Report as outlined in the Char-Meck CoC Data Quality Monitoring Plan	Report was due 7/15/22					-10
Late Submittal of Documents						-15
FINAL TOTAL						

NEW PROJECTS

Components	Source & Calculation	Points Allocation			Full Points (Section Weight)
Project Description		Full Points	75 Points	0 Points	
1. Application addresses the following components: a) Population served: Q2 b) Program eligibility requirements: Q4 c) Program design & philosophy: Q5 d) Types of assistance provided: Q6 e) Program staffing structure & agency experience in providing service: Q7 f) How project will work with other CoC and community partners including how participants will be connected to benefits and/or employment to ensure participants increase overall income: Q8 g) How projects will work with Coordinated Entry: Q9 h) RRH & HP PROJECTS ONLY: How project will work with landlords and who conducts housing search: Q10	Source: Project Application	>=10 components from column A addressed	9 components from column A addressed	<9 components from column A addressed	85
Project Effectiveness		Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	
2. All Project Types: Costs are within local average cost for project type	Project application: Q10	STND DEV			10
3. All Project Types: Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Housing First Assessment Questionnaire	13-15	10pts to 13 pts	<10	10
Equity Factors		Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	
Agency Leadership, Governance & Policies					
4. All Project Types: Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial AND leadership positions	Source: New Project Addendum	25%	10-24%	<10%	10
5. All Project Types: Applicant's Board of Directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (ex. homelessness, substance use, mental health, criminal justice involvement, frontline case manager, etc).	Source: Board Roster identifying which members have lived experience	Yes	N/A	No	10
6. All Project Types: Recipient has reviewed internal policies & procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	Source: Project Application: Organizational Capacity to Address Racial Equity: Q3.	Recipient describes 1 policy they identified and changed	Recipient has not yet reviewed policies & procedures, but provides a timeline for this review including responsible parties	Recipient has not reviewed policies & procedures and does not provide a timeline	10
7. All Project Types: Recipient describes how they involve individuals & families with lived experience in homelessness in the delivery of services (ex. hiring people with lived experience)	Source: Project Application: Organizational Capacity to Address Racial Equity Q4.	Recipient identifies 2 or more examples of how persons with lived experience shape the delivery of services	Recipient identifies 1 example of how persons with lived experience shape the delivery of services	Recipient identifies 0 examples	10
Program Participant Outcomes					
8. All Project Types: Recipient has reviewed agency participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity and/or age	Source: New Project Addendum	Recipient describes 1 insight they had about outcomes	Recipient has not yet disaggregated data, but describes plan & timeline for doing so	Recipient has not yet disaggregated data and does not provide a timeline	10
HMIS/Comparable Database		Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	
9. Agency has read and agrees to adhere to the CoC's Data Quality Monitoring Plan	Source: New Project Addendum	YES	N/A	NO	10
Financial		Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	
10. Most recent agency audit demonstrating there were no unresolved material findings.	Source: Last completed Agency Audit	Audit shows agency as low risk auditee & no findings	Audit shows agency as low risk auditee or no findings	Audit shows agency as high risk auditee AND findings	10
11. % of overall agency budget that this request equals	Source: Agency Operating Budget & Project Budget Calculation: Project funding request/Total operating budget	<25% of overall agency budget	25%-50%	>50% of overall agency budget	10
12. Agency describes impact of not receiving full amount of funding requested on project sustainability	Source: New project addendum	YES	N/A	NO	5
Continuum of Care Activities		Full Points	5 Points	0 Points	
13. Agency is a member organization of the CoC	Source: Membership roster	Yes	N/A	No	10
14. Agency staff participates in Continuum of Care meetings & number of meetings attended	Source: CoC Participation and Coordination Agreement Form	Attended any # of CoC meetings	N/A	Attended 0 meetings	10
SUB TOTAL					
Penalty					
Late Submittal of Documents					-15
FINAL TOTAL					