Governing Board Meeting: Thursday, May 26, 2022

In-Person Option: 3205 Freedom Drive, Entrance D, Suite 2000 Zoom: <u>https://zoom.us/j/92847713203?pwd=WTluSW9UdUYwdS9keDFqcGNXRy9Udz09</u>

Board Members						
Anna London, Chair	Deronda Metz, Vice Chair	Trish Hobson, Secretary	Kathryn Firmin-Sellers			
Sonia Jenkins	Brian Kovaleski	Karen Pelletier	Warren Wooten			
James Searcy	Hope Marshall	Kaedon Grinnell	James Lee			
Kenny Robinson	Jane Shutt	Timica Melvin	Elizabeth Trotman			
Lucy Crain	Tiffany Price	Tchernavia Montgomery	Kim Ciepcielinski			

Agenda

Time	Item	Facilitator
2:00pm-2:05pm	Welcome, attendance	Anna London (welcome)
	Welcome new Board member: Kim	Branden Lewis (attendance)
	Moment of remembrance for victims of racially motivated	Anna London
	shooting in Buffalo	
2:05pm-2:10pm	Public Comment: General Remarks	Branden Lewis
2:10pm	VOTE:	Anna London
	Motion: Approve meeting minutes (April 28, 2022) p.3-4	
2:10pm-2:15pm	Executive Committee Report:	Anna London
	Meeting etiquette reminders	
	Fully in person meetings starting in July	
2:15pm-2:30pm	Agency Spotlight: Foundation for the Carolinas	Elizabeth Trotman
		Dr. Titiksha Fernandes
2:30pm-2:40pm	Board Member Report: Towns seat	Jane Shutt
	Motion: Establish CoC Unsheltered Homelessness	James Lee
	Workgroup	
2:40pm-2:55pm	Committee Report: Coordinated Entry Oversight	Tameka Gunn, Vice Chair
	Committee	
2:55pm-3:10pm	Committee Report: Ranking Committee	Jamie Green, Vice Chair
	Motion: Approve Reallocation Policy (pgs. 6-8)	
3:10pm-3:15pm	A Home for All Framework Implementation	Courtney LaCaria
3:15pm-3:35pm	System Performance Measure Progress Update & context	Kim Sanders
	for setting funding priorities (pgs. 9-10)	Courtney LaCaria
3:35pm-3:45pm	CoC Board Strategic Plan	Anna London
	Motion: Adopt 2022 strategic plan (pgs. 11-15)	
3:45pm-3:50pm	Collaborative Applicant Staff Updates:	Branden Lewis
	1. FY 2021 Scoring Summary debrief	Erin Nixon
	2. Refresher training with CoC, ESG recipients (pgs.	
	16-24)	
3:50pm-4:00pm	Agency Updates	All
	Request for June meeting agenda items	

Our Vision: Homelessness is rare, brief and non-recurring in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Community. Everyone has housing choices and prompt access to a variety of housing resources and supports that meet their needs.

CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG CONTINUUM OF CARE

4:00pm	Adjourn	Anna London

Next CoC Governing Board meeting: Thursday, June 23, 2022; 2pm-4pm Next CoC Full Membership meeting: Wednesday, July 13, 2022; 2:00pm-3:30pm

Our Vision: Homelessness is rare, brief and non-recurring in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Community. Everyone has housing choices and prompt access to a variety of housing resources and supports that meet their needs.

CoC Governing Board Meeting Minutes April 28, 2022

The meeting of the CoC Governing Board was held on April 28, 2022, as a hybrid in-person/virtual meeting. The in-person portion was held at the Valarie Woodard Center; Virtual was held via Zoom. Anna London, Board Chair called the meeting to order at 2:02pm.

Board Members Present: Anna London, Karen Pelletier, Trish Hobson, James Searcy, James Lee, Kenny Robinson, Timica Melvin, Tiffany Price, Elizabeth Trotman, Kaedon Grinnell, Hope Marshall, Lucy Crain, Sonia Jenkins, Warren Wooten

Board Members Absent: Jane Shutt, Deronda Metz, Brian Kovaleski, Kathryn Firmin-Sellers, Tchernavia Montgomery,

CoC Staff Present: Branden Lewis, Erin Nixon

Guests Present: Kim Sanders (Meck County CSS), Mary Ann Priester (Meck County CSS), Nicole DeWitt (SocialServe), Valarie Townsend (Lived Experience Committee and Grand Awakening, LLC), Angela Dreher (Supportive Housing Communities), Vickie Craighead-Davis (Friendship Community Development Corp), Michele Richardson (Oxford house INC), Madeline Thomas (Mecklenburg County Shelter Plus Care), LeDayne McLeese Polaski (Meck Min)

Welcome (Anna London), Attendance (Branden Lewis): Quorum present; Members of the public introduced themselves in the chat with name and organization.

Public Comment: General Remarks (Branden Lewis): Valarie Townsend asked, "Why do we have a prioritization policy? Why don't we house people on first-come, first-serve basis?" Erin said that the Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee is looking at the prioritization policy and that they would answer this question at the next committee meeting on Tuesday, May 3.

Vote: Approve meeting minutes from March 24, 2022, meeting (Motion: Karen Pelletier, Second: James Searcy) – meeting minutes were approved.

Board Member Report – Faith Based Seat (Lucy Crain and LeDayne McLeese Polaski): LeDayne informed the board of the many ways MeckMin brings people of different faiths together to understand the needs of our community. Several of the organizations involved with MeckMin are volunteering in different ways across the homeless services system, including building affordable housing. LeDayne encouraged the board to attend MeckMin's monthly luncheon to network with the faith community. Anna London mentioned a couple other ideas

- 1. A community wide book study
- 2. Outreach, talking points, speaker's bureau

Lived Experience Committee (James Lee and James Searcy): James and James outlined several initiatives that the Lived Experience Committee is working on:

- 1. Working with people falling through the cracks. Many are in camps and are not accessing services.
- 2. Working to support Jane Shutt in her outreach efforts
- 3. Working with Brian Kovaleski to talk to property owners

The committee wants to ensure that the CoC board and other decision makers are acting upon recommendations from members of the Lived Experience Committee.

A Home for All Framework Implementation (Karen Pelletier): Karen shared progress on implementation of the framework. We are in an interim period while the enduring structure is put in place. The implementation committee is at work getting many of the initiatives started.

CoC Board Strategic Plan (Anna London): Anna reminded everyone that a workgroup has been working to align our strategic plan with "A Home for All". Erin shared a draft of the plan. She will send a copy to the board and asked that they review prior to the next board meeting.

Collaborative Applicant Staff Updates (Branden Lewis, Erin Nixon):

- 1. Shared Housing Over 100 people attended the trainings. The leadership team continues to meet.
- Preparing for NOFO Process We will be asked to set funding priorities at the next board meeting. The ranking committee is working on reallocation policy. We are also revisiting the YHDP application

Agency Updates (All):

- 1. Erin Nixon: Congratulations to Karen Pelletier for being honored as a "40 over 40" honoree
- Trish Hobson: The Relatives On Ramp Resource Center will move to a new location on May 17 at 2219 Freedom Drive
- 3. James Lee: Suggests the board help with the YAB
- 4. **Nicole DeWitt:** SocialServe is rebranding. The new name is Housing Collaborative. They will also be implementing new software Housing Accelerator.
- 5. **Kenny Robinson:** Freedom Fight Missionaries partnered with Dream Key Partners on an ARPA funding.

Motion to Adjourn the Meeting: Motion: Karen Pelletier

Meeting adjourned at 3:23pm.

Board Member	Transition	1/27/2022	2/24/2022	3/24/2022	4/28/2022	5/26/2022	6/23/2022	7/28/2022	8/25/2022	9/22/2022	10/27/2022	11/17/2022	12/15/2022	Total Present	Total Absent
Ciepcielinski, Kim	Newly Elected	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a										
Crain, Lucy	Continued Service	х	absent	absent	х									2	2
Firmin-Sellers, Kathryn	Continued Service	х	absent	х	absent									2	2
Grinnell, Kaedon	Newly Elected	х	х	х	х									4	0
Hobson, Trish	Continued Service	absent	х	х	х									3	1
Jenkins, Sonia	Continued Service	х	х	absent	х									3	1
Kovaleski, Brian	Newly Elected	х	х	absent	absent									2	2
Lee, James	Continued Service	absent	х	х	х									3	1
London, Anna	Continued Service	х	х	х	х									3	1
Marshall, Hope	Continued Service	х	х	absent	х									3	1
Melvin, Timica	Continued Service	absent	х	х	х									3	1
Metz, Deronda	Continued Service	х	х	х	absent									3	1
Montgomery, Tchernavia	Newly Elected	х	х	absent	absent									2	2
Pelletier, Karen	Appointed by Stacy Lowry	n/a	n/a	х	х									2	0
Price, Tiffany	Replaced Pamela Malatestinic	х	х	х	х									4	0
Robinson, Kenny	Newly Elected	х	х	х	х									4	0
Searcy, James	Newly Elected	х	х	х	х									4	0
Shutt, Jane	Newly Elected	х	х	х	absent									3	1
Trotman, Elizabeth	Newly Elected	n/a	n/a	х	х									2	0
Wooten, Warren	Continued Service	х	х	х	х									4	0
Board Member Transitions															
Lowry, Stacy	Appointed Karen Pelletier 3/2022	absent	absent												
McCool, Deanna (resigned 2/2022)	Left CoC 2/2022	х	х												

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Continuum of Care

Item	Edit made	Rationale for edit
2b. Definition of Chronic	Added to the definition of	HUD wants communities to
Underperformance	chronic underperformance to	be evaluating projects on
	include when a renewal project	their overall performance.
	does not consistently meet	
	performance benchmarks in	
	the monitoring process	
4. Projects subject to reallocation	Added that projects that no	To ensure projects align with
	longer meet HUD or local	HUD & local needs and
	priorities are subject to	priorities to make the most
	reallocation	efficient use of funding.
6. Special Reallocation Amount	Added this section to	To avoid projects being
Rules	determine how to treat	penalized twice before
	reallocated projects in	having a chance to improve
	subsequent grant years.	performance.

Reallocation Policy

1. Purpose and Background

The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Continuum of Care (CoC) manages the performance of all CoC projects in the community and reallocates financial resources to improve the CoC's capacity to end homelessness. The CoC encourages new and existing providers to apply for new projects each fiscal year in accordance with identified community priorities, strategies, and resource gaps. Chronic underspending or underperformance by a project risks recapture of those funds by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development, making those funds unavailable to the community for use in ending homelessness. Therefore, the CoC has developed this policy to provide rules that govern the process for reallocation.

2. Definitions

- a. Chronic Underspending. Chronic underspending occurs when a renewal project that has not already been reallocated fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its three most recently closed out grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its first two completed grant years.
- b. Chronic Underperformance. Chronic underperformance occurs when a renewal project fails to serve 90% or more of the projected number of households during each of its three most recently completed grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to serve 85% or more of the projected number of households during each of its first two completed grant years, per its APR. Chronic Underperformance can also occur when a renewal project does not consistently meet performance benchmarks in the monitoring process.
- c. CoC funding priorities. HUD & local funding priorities identified in the NOFO and by local community data & gaps analyses.
- d. Measurement Date. The measurement date will be the earlier of June 1 of each year or the release date of the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

- e. Collaborative Applicant (CA). The CA is the organization designated by the CoC to submit the required CoC application for all projects selected for funding, and to apply for CoC planning funds.
- f. Recipient. A recipient is an organizational member of the CoC that receives HUD CoC funding for a project directly from HUD.
- g. Ranking Committee. A CoC Committee responsible for developing fair and transparent processes and recommending the ranking for projects eligible for funding under the CoC Program and Emergency Solutions Grant Program.

3. Effective Date

This policy is effective as of May 26, 2022

4. Projects Subject to Reallocation

There is no guarantee of on-going CoC funding for any project because HUD wants communities to continually evaluate how best to deploy resources in order to end homelessness. The annual Notice Of Funding Opportunity is a competitive process, and the CoC Ranking Committee has tools and processes in place to ensure an equitable and transparent review of applications, in accord with community needs and priorities. Renewal projects that are determined to have chronic underspending or underperformance as defined in Section 2. above will be subject to reallocation. Renewal projects that do not align with HUD and/or local funding priorities are subject to reallocation. The Collaborative Applicant will notify all projects with chronic underspending and/or underperformance and/or no longer meeting HUD and/or local funding priorities of its intent to reallocate, within 14 business days of the request date. The first year of a new project will not be included in any calculations related to underspending or underperformance.

5. Reallocation Amount

If there is chronic underspending, the reallocation amount may be the **greater** of the average underspending for the two most recently completed grant years, or ten percent of the annual project funding. When evaluating consolidated projects for reallocation, the average underspending will include the underspending for the projects that have been consolidated.

If there is chronic underperformance as defined in Section 2. above, but there is no underspending, the Collaborative Applicant, together with the Ranking Committee, may recommend a reallocation amount. History of grant consolidation will be considered when determining a reallocation amount.

If the calculated reallocation amount is less than \$7,500, then no reallocation will be made

6. Special Reallocation Amount Rules:

 A project that has had its grant amount reduced by the CoC's reallocation policy ("Reallocated Project") will not be subject to reallocation the subsequent year. The following year, the project would be subject to reallocation if there is chronic underspending in the 2 most recently closed out grants. The reallocation amount may be the **greater** of the average underspending for the two most recently completed grant years, or ten percent of the annual project funding.

 A reallocated project is not eligible to apply for expansion funds until the completion of its first reallocated grant year.

7. Voluntary Reallocation

Renewal projects that cannot effectively expend all of their funding may choose to relinquish some or all of their funding for the purpose of creating new projects in the community as long as they meet these criteria:

- a. They have operated for a minimum of 12 months, and
- b. They commit to identify placement for any household that would be displaced as a result of the reallocation.

Interest in a voluntary reallocation should be communicated by the Renewal Project to the Collaborative Applicant as soon as possible to allow the community to plan for new project applications.

8. Waiver Request

A renewal project may request a one-year waiver of the reallocation within 5 business days from the receipt of the reallocation notice under the following circumstances:

- a. The recipient has not been granted a waiver within the last two years, and
- b. The project recipient has a detailed plan in place to fully expend the funds for the current grant year and the drawdown activity for the current grant year can clearly demonstrate that the grant will be more than 90% expended. AND/OR
- c. The project recipient has a detailed plan in place to address chronic underperformance as defined above.

The waiver request should be made to the Collaborative Applicant, and should include at a minimum a detailed corrective spending plan and projections of future drawdown activity. The CA, together with the Ranking Committee, will review the waiver request and make a decision within 5 business days of the waiver request.

9. Conflicts of Interest

No member of the Ranking Committee who is associated with a project impacted by this policy may participate in deliberations or vote on any reallocation decision.

10. How Funds are Reallocated

If a project has its grant amount reduced as a result of this Policy, the project budget must be adjusted by the recipient prior to the submission of the NOFO application. The reallocated funds are included in the NOFO funding amount available for new or existing projects.

The Notice for Letters of Intent, Notice of Project Process Competition, New Project Application Scorecard and Renewal Project Application Scorecard are posted annually by the Collaborative Applicant at: <u>www.charmeckcoc.org</u>

2022 System Performance Measure Benchmarks

• Annual Count and (Point in Time count) PIT: benchmark to remain at a **5% reduction** in annual count and PIT count (from previous submission)

Measure	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
	(baseline)	
Annual Count (ES,SH,TH)	4827	5467
PIT Count	1947	1761

• Length of Time homeless (LOTH): reduce benchmark to a **3% reduction** in both average and median LOTH (previous benchmark was 5%)

Measure: ES/SH	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
Average	126 days	103 days
Median	90 days	59 days

Measure: ES/SH/TH	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
Average	174 days	149 days
Median	101 days	72 days

• Returns from Permanent Housing: raise benchmark to a **3% reduction** in returns to homelessness from PH (previous benchmark was 2%)

Measure	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
Returns from PH (2 year	25%	20%
data)		

• Employment and Income Growth for CoC Funded projects: **2% increase** in employment income, a **3% increase** in non-employment income, and Total increase of **2%**.

Measure - Stayers	10/1/20 to 9/30/21 (baseline)	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
Employment Income	6%	7%
Increase		
Non-employment	44%	38%
income increase		
Total Increase	46%	40%

Measure - Leavers	10/1/20 to 9/30/21 (baseline)	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
Employment Income	7%	9%
Non-employment	27%	32%
income		
Total	32%	40%

• Successful Exits to Permanent Housing: **5%** percentage point overall increase as well as for ES, TH, and RRH project types. The SO goal is to maintain 50% positive exits.

Intervention	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
ES, SH, TH, and RRH	37%	32%
who exited		
Street Outreach	62%	67%

• Successful Exits & Retention of Permanent Housing: **95%** or higher

Retention (7b.2)	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
PSH and OPH	97%	96%

• First Time Homeless: this measure had been on a gradual decline prior to Covid; the DAC has agreed to keep this goal at a **5% reduction** in the number of people homeless for the first time

Measure	10/1/20 to 9/30/21	5/1/21 to 4/30/22
ES/SH/TH	3013	3317
ES/SH/TH/PH	3416	3642

According to Stella Insights, if the CoC focuses resources on the following populations, it would have the greatest impact on our system's overall length of time homeless:

- 1. Adults only over 25, non-veterans
- 2. All households with children & youth: shelter only is 51 could be interpreted as target resources to households in the shelter
- 3. Adults only 18-24

2022-2023 Charlotte-Mecklenburg CoC Strategic Focus Action Plan

CoC Governing Board Approved: May 26, 2022

This is a living document that may be updated throughout the year with Board input.

Items in green are language directly from the Home for All framework

Strategy	Objectives	Action Items	Report out progress at CoC Board meeting	Responsible Committee/Entity	Board member champion
Goal 1: System Performance Mea	sure: Reduction in the 1 st Time Homeless (Rare)				
1. Create an effective intake & triage system that allows for seamless connections for individuals	 A. Evaluate the ability of NC 211 & Coordinated Entry (CE) to conduct person-centered prevention assistance intake & triage. B. Design Coordinated Entry system to achieve goals of community C. Create an effective intake & triage system that allows for seamless connections for individuals D. Improve coordination with existing partners: align other intake and triage systems with prevention assistance intake and triage systems; expand and strengthen coordinated entry system to receive referrals from qualified, non-traditional partners, including grassroots organizations E. Ensure adequate communication to the community about the entry point for the homeless services system. F. Improve information flow for (1) people experiencing homelessness (2) outreach volunteers & community members 	 1A: Identify what we want the CE system to do (community need) and decide what role 211 has in meeting that need and how it needs to change to meet the need. 1B: Redesign CE system so it aligns with: HUD requirements, community need and recommendations from UNCC Urban Institute CE evaluation study. Community need will be determined and evaluated ongoing through a feedback loop between clients, providers and the Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee. 1E/1F: Develop a comprehensive communication plan and campaign that informs the community what CE IS and what it IS NOT 	June 2022 September 2022	Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee	Hope Marshall James Lee James Searcy Trish Hobson
2. Create a prevention- focused, intensive, case management model	 A. Fully utilize available diversion funding by piloting diversion case management strategies using CE system navigation B. Research homeless prevention case management best practices & incorporate findings into CoC written standards C. Assess opportunities to partner with complementary data systems to improve care coordination & create a shorter-term solution to more effective case management D. Work toward aligning case management model with findings of predictive model 	 2A: Pilot diversion case management strategies using CE system navigation 2C: Utilize results of HMIS software & HMIS Lead monitoring to determine if HMIS software (WellSky) & HMIS Lead (MCAH) meet the needs of clients, agencies & the community and look to transition to a new software and/or Lead with guidance from HUD TA 	July 2022 December 2022 (vote to not renew contract with MCAH for FY24)	Diversion Workgroup	Karen Pelletier DAC Board member
3. Increase support for teens & young adults exiting foster care	A. Collaborate with Youth and Family Services (YFS) on the distribution of Foster Youth Independence (FYI) vouchers	2A: Partner with YFS to utilize the Coordinated Entry process to issue FYI vouchers	June 2022 (approve submission of	Homeless Youth Workgroup	Trish Hobson

Strategy	Objectives	Action Items	Report out progress at CoC Board meeting	Responsible Committee/Entity	Board member champion
	 B. Augment the CoC sub-committee for homeless students with broad task forces C. Apply for Youth Homeless Demonstration Project (YHDP) through HUD 		YHDP application)		
4. Increase housing opportunities for justice involved adults	 A. Consider directing a portion of CoC & NCDHHS ESG funding to projects that serve justice-involved adults B. Continue Persons with Criminal Histories workgroup, which is a workgroup that addresses barriers to existing housing programs for justice-involved adults 	2B: Continue targeting Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV) to persons with criminal history	July 2022 (EHV Update)	Persons with Criminal Histories Workgroup	James Searcy Kenny Robinson Timica Melvin
Goal 2: System Performance Meas	sure: Reduction in the Length of Time Homeless (Brief)			·	
1. Increase Life Navigators/single point of contact for navigation across sector supports	 A. Continue to connect clients to CE system navigators at entry into the homeless services system. B. Ensure multiple systems are represented in CoC membership (healthcare, mental health providers, substance use providers, education, etc) 	1A: incorporate CE system navigation into CE Redesign	June 2022 September 2022	Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee Membership/Nomina ting Committee	Hope Marshall Deronda Metz
2. Expand on existing housing resource center so it can serve as a robust, concerted gatekeeper and convener for both demand (individuals seeking affordable housing) and supply (housing providers)	 A. Engage technical assistance to develop CoC-wide landlord engagement strategy B. Recruit landlords, developers, and property managers to join the CoC C. Identify & evaluate landlord engagement strategies for Housing Collaborative (formerly Housing CLT) to test D. Implement shared housing as a CoC wide best practice E. Direct new/reallocated CoC-PSH funding to Leasing (master leasing) F. Identify program-specific housing barriers & test strategies to overcome the barriers 	 1C: If held, participate in City of Charlotte's Affordable Housing Summit 1F: Seek input from housing service providers about barriers created by paperwork/ documentation required by funders 1F: Seek input from Inlivian & landlords re: screening criteria they use and why they use it 	July 2022	Landlord Engagement Workgroup	Brian Kovaleski James Lee Timica Melvin
3. Reduce length of time homeless for unsheltered population	 A. CoC convene Street Outreach workgroup B. Implement Countywide Street Outreach strategy to ensure everyone who is unsheltered is engaged C. Expand outreach teams, specifically with mental health & substance use focus D. Make existing crisis housing (emergency shelter & transitional housing (TH)) more accessible E. Identify family-friendly shelter options (ex. safe parking, sanctioned encampment) 	 3C: Target NCDHHS ESG Street Outreach funding to agencies whose strategies align with written standards 3D: Understand barriers to access; connect eligible clients to TH at CE 3D: Direct NCDHHS ESG Emergency Shelter funding to low-barrier shelters 	June 2022 (funding priority vote) August 2022	Street Outreach teams (AJC, RA, PATH) Homeless Families Workgroup	Karen Pelletier Deronda Metz Lucy Crain Jane Shutt

Strategy	Objectives	Action Items	Report out progress at CoC Board meeting	Responsible Committee/Entity	Board member champion
	F. Conduct communitywide training on street outreach for grassroots organizations and other entities who are interested in providing street outreach	3E: Partner with faith community to expand shelter options for families			
4. Expand and create new subsidies	 A. Direct CoC & NCDHHS ESG funding to new PSH & RRH subsidies B. Develop & Implement CoC Moving On Strategy C. Continue to connect resources serving Veterans to coordinated entry D. Continue to connect domestic violence survivors to housing resources and utilize DV Bonus funding made available through HUD 	 4B: Quantify the number of PSH households who want to move on. 4B: Continue to target a portion of EHVs to households moving on from PSH 4B: Work with INLIVIAN to streamline the entry of persons in PSH to income-based properties 4D. Implement targeting process for DV housing resources at CE 	June 2022 (funding priority decision) September 2022	Ranking committee Chronic Workgroup Veterans Workgroup	Karen Pelletier Kaedon Grinnell Warren Wooten Tiffany Price Timica Melvin Elizabeth Trotman
Goal 3: System Performance Meas	sure: Reduction in Total Number Homeless (Non-recurring)				
 Continue efforts to end homelessness for all populations (HUD goal) 	 A. Continue work of CoC Committees & workgroups B. Advocate for funding to fill gaps identified by committees & workgroups C. Submit HUD CoC NOFO application 	 1A: Committees/workgroups report out progress at Board meetings including gaps identified 1A: Continue CE match process and case conferencing in various populations 	Ongoing	All Collaborative Applicant	All
2. Coordinate efforts to end & prevent youth homelessness	 A. Integrate Youth Action Board into the leadership & governance of the CoC B. Augment the CoC sub-committee for homeless students with broad task forces C. Integrate youth-specific street outreach into the CoC D. Ensure there are low-barrier shelter options available that can meet the unique needs of youth E. Apply for Youth Homeless Demonstration Program (YHDP) through HUD 	1A: Continue youth-specific PIT		Homeless Youth Workgroup Unsheltered homeless workgroup	Trish Hobson
3. Increase support for teens & young adults exiting foster care	 A. Collaborate with Youth and Family Services (YFS) on the distribution of Foster Youth Independence (FYI) vouchers B. Explore youth-specific housing options including shared housing, host homes, transitional housing, etc C. Ensure youth have access to education, employment and income opportunities and mainstream benefits 	2A: Partner with YFS to utilize the Coordinated Entry process to issue FYI vouchers	June 2022 (approve submission of YHDP application)	Homeless Youth Workgroup	Trish Hobson
	sure: Reduction in Returns to Homelessness (Non-recurring)		1		1
1. Strengthen Community Based Healthcare including	A. Support implementation of mobile healthcare initiatives that serve populations who are currently or formerly experiencing	1A: Connect clients with mobile healthcare at Coordinated Entry	October 2022	Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee	Tchernavia Montgomery Hope Marshall

Strategy	Objectives	Action Items	Report out progress at CoC Board meeting	Responsible Committee/Entity	Board member champion
Mobile Medical, Dental/Podiatry	homelessness to target areas with high prevalence of unsheltered populations				
	easure: Increase in Exits to Permanent Housing (Brief)	1	I		1
1. Expand Scope of services provided in RRH & PSH beyond just housing	 A. Research support service best practices for RRH & PSH B. Link families experiencing homelessness to family supports & education resources 	 1A: Provide trainings on RRH & PSH best practices & incorporate updates into CoC written standards 1B: Partner with CMS to ensure homeless families have access to education resources 	October 2022	Training Workgroup Families Workgroup	Sonia Jenkins Deronda Metz Karen Pelletier Kaedon Grinnell Jane Shutt
Goal 6: System Performance Me	easure: Increase in Employment & Income (Non-recurring)				
1. Increase awareness of supportive employment model	A. Provide training on model	1A: Work with Promise Resource Network to provide the training to CoC full membership	November 2022	Training Workgroup	
2. Increase connections to SOAR & employment income	 A. Ensure programs connect participants to mainstream resources B. Connect clients to mainstream resources at Coordinated Entry C. Convene workgroup to continue exploring connections to income at Coordinated Entry D. Advocate for creation of CoC-specific seat on the Workforce Board 	3A: Include in project monitoring process3A: Ensure workforce development providers& employers are members of the CoC	November 2022	Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee Collaborative Applicant	Anna London Hope Marshall
Goal 7: Disparities Eliminated					
1. Develop a more anti-racist and inclusive CoC culture	 A. Center racial justice and equity in all action steps (eg. All elements of planning, decision-making, staffing and implementation) B. Shift existing culture to ensure CoC members develop a shared understanding of and commitment to racial equity principles and practices. C. Develop inclusive decision-making processes so that power is more equitably distributed across race and ethnicity, and power is shared with partners with lived expertise and frontline staff. D. Increase representation of BIPOC and people with lived experience across the CoC leadership and Board level. E. Increase participation of grassroots and minority-led organizations in CoC funding and activities 	 1D: Repeat survey of Board & committee/workgroup leadership to examine populations represented in CoC leadership 1B: Offer trainings to agencies to ensure they have strategies to advance racial equity within agencies 1E. Incentivize, through CoC project scorecard, agencies that partner with grassroots organizations that have not previously received CoC or NCDHHS ESG funding 1E. Provide training on CoC funding processes & eligible activities 	October 2022	Executive Committee Equity & Inclusion Committee Membership/Nomina ting Committee Lived Experience Committee Training Workgroup Ranking Committee	Anna London Kathryn Firmin- Sellers Kaedon Grinnell Deronda Metz James Lee James Searcy

Strategy	Objectives	Action Items	Report out progress at CoC Board meeting	Responsible Committee/Entity	Board member champion
2. Utilize data to identify and address racial inequities and monitor progress towards racial equity objectives.	 A. Collect qualitative data on a regular and consistent basis to better understand the experiences of those utilizing the homeless services system. Embed this data in system planning processes in formalized ways. B. Share data across systems (healthcare, mental healthcare, criminal justice, education, etc) to develop targeted strategies for high uses of multiple systems. 	2A: All committees & workgroups continue to examine data by race & ethnicity to identify & address existing disparities	Ongoing SPM report outs: September 2022 December 2022 March 2023	Data Advisory Committee Lived Experience Committee Equity & Inclusion Committee	Kathryn Firmin- Sellers James Lee James Searcy
3. Develop more culturally responsive services.	 A. Integrate racial equity throughout the CoC's governing documents (Written Standards, Governance Charter). B. Improve the experiences of people utilizing the homeless services system. C. Create lower barrier access to programs. 	 3C: Examine & monitor program eligibility criteria to ensure programs do not screen out participants based on housing barriers 3C: Complete homeless services system map of resources & identify gaps in resources and access to resource 	October 2022	Equity & Inclusion Committee Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee Lived Experience Committee	Kathryn Firmin- Sellers Hope Marshall
4. Support legislative agendas and advocacy efforts	 Stay abreast of HSN advocacy efforts, City, County, State & Federal legislative agendas as they relate to homelessness & affordable housing 	 4A: CoC Board sign on to letters of support 4A: CoC Board remain knowledgeable of and be the go-to entity for homelessness & housing efforts across the community 4A: Invite representatives of advocacy agencies to speak at Board meeting 	November 2022 (Homeless Awareness Month)	Executive Committee Training Workgroup	Anna London

Acronyms:

CE=Coordinated Entry	NCDHHS ESG=North Carolina Department of Health & Human
HUD=U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development	Services Emergency Solutions Grant
HMIS=Homeless Management Information System	SPM=System Performance Measure
CoC=continuum of Care	HSN=Homeless Services Network
TA=Technical Assistance	TH=Transitional Housing
YHDP=Youth Homelessness Demonstration Project	EHV=Emergency Housing Vouchers
YFS=Youth & Family Services	DV=Domestic Violence
FYI=Foster Youth Independence	SOAR=Social Security Income (SSI)/Social Security Disability
RRH=Rapid Rehousing	Income (SSDI) Outreach, Access and Recovery
PSH=Permanent Supportive Housing	AJC=Ada Jenkins Center
CMS=Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools	RA=Roof Above
BIPOC=Black, Indigenous, Persons of Color	PATH=Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness

DAC=Data Advisory Committee MCAH=Michigan Coalition to End Homelessness PIT=Point in Time

CoC: NC-505 - Charlotte/Mecklenburg County CoC

This document summarizes the scores HUD awarded to the Continuum of Care (CoC) Application your CoC submitted during the Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 CoC Program Competition and is divided into three sections:

- 1. High Priority CoC Application Questions;
- 2. CoC Scoring Summary-on the five sections of the application; and
- 3. Overall Scores for all CoCs-including highest and lowest scores.

We organized sections 1 and 2 like the CoC Application. We included FY 2021 CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) references in the CoC Application so that you could reference the question to the NOFO, where applicable.

1. High Priority CoC Application Questions

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
1C. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Loca	l, Private, and	Other Orga	nizations
1C-9. Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	VII.B.1.i.	10	10
1C-9a. Housing First–Project Evaluation.			
1C-10. Street Outreach–Scope.	VII.B.1.j.	3	3
Describe in the field below:			
1. your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;			
 whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area; 			
3. how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and			

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
4. how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing			
homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.			
 1C-12. Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC). Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC–only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current." 	VII.B.1.l.	10	10
 1C-15. Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness–Assessing Racial Disparities. 1C-15a. Racial Disparities Assessment Results. 1C-15b. Strategies to Address Racial Disparities. 1C-15c. Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness Beyond Areas Identified in Racial Disparity Assessment. 	VII.B.1.o.	7	7
1D. Addressing COVID-19 in the CoC's Geogr	aphic Area		
 These questions assessed how CoCs addressed challenges resulting from the outbreak of COVID-19 affecting individuals and families experiencing homelessness. 1D-1. Safety Protocols Implemented to Address Immediate Needs of People Experiencing Unsheltered, Congregate Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing Homelessness. 	VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.n., VII.B.1.q.	21.5	21.5
 1D-2. Improving Readiness for Future Public Health Emergencies. 1D-3. CoC Coordination to Distribute ESG Cares Act (ESG-CV) Funds. 1D-4. CoC Coordination with Mainstream Health. 			
 1D-5. Communicating Information to Homeless Service Providers. 1D-6. Identifying Eligible Persons Experiencing Homelessness for COVID-19 Vaccination. 			
1D-7. Addressing Possible Increases in Domestic Violence.1D-8. Adjusting Centralized or Coordinated Entry System.			

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
1E. Project Review, Ranking, and Selec	tion		
 1E-2. and 1E-2a. Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. These questions assessed whether your CoC used objective criteria and past performance to review and rank projects based on required attachments. 1. At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH). 2. At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness). 3. Used data from a comparable database to score projects submitted by victim service providers. 4. Used objective criteria to evaluate how projects submitted by victim service providers improved safety for the population they serve. 5. Used a specific method for evaluating projects based on the CoC's analysis of rapid returns to permanent housing. 6. Specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities your CoC considered when ranking and selecting projects; and 	VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d.	22	21

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
 considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area. 			
2A. Homeless Management Information System (HM	IIS) Bed Cove	rage	
2A-5. Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data.2A-5b. Bed Coverage Rate in Comparable Databases.	VII.B.3.c.	6	6
2A-6. Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 15, 2021, 8 p.m. EST?	VII.B.3.d.	2	2
2C. System Performance			
2C-1. Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless. We scored this question based on data your CoC submitted in HDX and your narrative response.	VII.B.5.b.	3	2.5
Describe in the field below:1. how your CoC determined which risk factors your CoC uses to identify persons becoming homeless for the first time;			
2. how your CoC addresses individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and			
3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families			

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
experiencing homelessness for the first time or to end homelessness for individuals and families.			
2C-2. Length of Time Homeless.We scored this question based on data your CoC submitted in HDX and your narrative response.	VII.B.5.c.	6	5
Describe in the field below:1. your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;			
2. how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and			
3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.			
 2C-3. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing. We scored this question based on data your CoC submitted in HDX and your narrative response. 	VII.B.5.d.	5	4.5
 Describe in the field below how your CoC will increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in: 1. emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations; and 			

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
2. permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations.			
 2C-4. Returns to Homelessness. We scored this question based on data your CoC submitted in HDX and your narrative response. Describe in the field below: 1. how your CoC identifies individuals and families who return to homelessness; 2. your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and 3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness. 	VII.B.5.e.	4	2
 2C-5. Increasing Employment Cash Income. We scored this question based on data your CoC submitted in HDX and your narrative response. 2C-5a. Increasing Employment Cash Income–Workforce Development– Education–Training. 2C-5b. Increasing Non-employment Cash Income. 	VII.B.5.f.	4	3.75

Scoring Category	Maximum Score (Points)	Your CoC Score (Points)
1B. and 1C. CoC Coordination and Engagement	74.5	73.5
1D. Addressing COVID-19 in the CoC's Geographic Area	21.5	21.5
1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking	30	29
2A. Homeless Management Information System	11	10
2B. Point-in-Time Count	3	3
2C. System Performance	23	17.75
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare Bonus Points	10	5
Total CoC Application Score*	173	159.75

2. CoC Scoring Summary (from FY 2021 CoC NOFO)

*The total does not include bonus scores.

3. Overall Scores for all CoCs

Highest Score for any CoC	168.25
Lowest Score for any CoC	60.25
Median Score for all CoCs	143
Weighted Mean Score** for all CoCs	155.5

**The weighted mean score is the mean CoC score weighted by Annual Renewal Demand. CoCs that scored higher than the weighted mean score were more likely to gain funding relative to their Annual Renewal Demand, while CoCs that scored lower than the weighted mean were more likely to lose money relative to their Annual Renewal Demand.

CoC: NC-505 - Charlotte/Mecklenburg County CoC Applicant: Mecklenburg County Project: Domestic Violence SSO-CE

Below is your DV Bonus project application score for the FY 2021 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition. To receive DV Bonus funding, your application needed a score of at least **84.4**, which is the lowest score of any new DV Bonus project we funded. If your DV Bonus application did not score high enough for us to conditionally award DV Bonus funding to your proposed project, we could have still conditionally awarded funding based on (1) where your CoC ranked your project, and (2) if your project met project eligibility requirements.

SSO for Coordinated Entry Projects				
NOFA Language	NOFA Section	Application Question Number	Maximum Points Available	Your DV Bonus Score
CoC Score. Up to 50 points in direct proportion to the score received on the CoC Application.	II.B.11.(e)(2)(a)	Not Applicable	50	42.49
Need for the Project. Up to 50 points based on the extent to which the CoC demonstrates the need for a coordinated entry system that better meets the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, or stalking, and how the project will fill this need.	II.B.11.(e)(1)(b)	4A-3, 4A-3a	50	30
		·	Total:	72.49

Below is a summary of all DV Bonus application scores.

Overall Scores for all DV Bonus Applications		
Highest Score for any CoC	94.05	
Lowest Score for any CoC	33.91	
Median Score for all CoCs	77.4	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition Debriefing – DV Bonus Scores 2021

CoC: NC-505 - Charlotte/Mecklenburg County CoC Applicant: Socialserve Project: Home SafeCLT

Below is your DV Bonus project application score for the FY 2021 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition. To receive DV Bonus funding, your application needed a score of at least **84.4**, which is the lowest score of any new DV Bonus project we funded. If your DV Bonus application did not score high enough for us to conditionally award DV Bonus funding to your proposed project, we could have still conditionally awarded funding based on (1) where your CoC ranked your project, and (2) if your project met project eligibility requirements.

RRH or Joint TH-RRH Projects				
NOFA Language	NOFA Section	Application Question Number	Maximum Points Available	Your DV Bonus Score
CoC Score. Up to 25 points in direct proportion to the score received	II.B.11.(e)(1)(a)	Not	50	42.49
on the CoC Application.		Applicable		
Need for the Project. Up to 25 points based on the extent the CoC	II.B.11.(e)(1)(b)	4A-2, 4A-2a	25	25
quantifies the need for the project in its portfolio, the extent of the need,				
and how the project will fill that gap.				
Quality of the Project Applicant. Up to 50 points based on the	II.B.11.(e)(1)(c)	4A-4, 4A-4a,	25	18.5
previous performance of the applicant in serving survivors of domestic		4A-4b, 4A-		
violence, dating violence, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors		4c, 4A-4d,		
and meet safety outcomes. Additionally, to be eligible to receive a PH-		4A-4e		
RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH project, the CoC must demonstrate that				
the applicant will use trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches.				
			Total Score	85.99

Below is a summary of all DV Bonus application scores.

Overall Scores for all DV Bonus Applications		
Highest Score for any CoC	94.05	
Lowest Score for any CoC	33.91	
Median Score for all CoCs	77.4	