

## **DAC Meeting Minutes**

10.14.2020

Members present: Kim Sanders, Shamika Agbeviade, Thomas Montaglione, Allison Winston, Anisse Puryear, Megan Coffey, Mary Ann Priester, Joann Markley, Courtney LaCaria, Melissa Corzine

Guests present: Branden Lewis, Gregory Denlea

Members absent: Liz Clasen-Kelly, Justin Lane, Patrick Hayes, Erin Nixon (LOA)

Note: Evelyn Murrell has left SHC

Quorum established.

Reviewed September Meeting Minutes. No changes were made. Kim Sanders motioned to approve the minutes, with second by Thomas. Motion approved.

### SPM

Allison reviewed the benchmarks that were set in the previous meeting:

PIT and Overall Numbers: keep 5% decrease

Length of time homeless: ES Only: 3% decrease for ES only and ES and TH

Returns to homelessness from permanent destination: 3% decrease

Employment and Income Growth: no benchmark

Exits to permanent housing: pause while we confirm the number is accurate

Successful PH Placements or retention: no benchmark, but monitor for any significant decrease

Kim reviewed initial SPM data for the 2019-2020 HUD fiscal year. Data cleanup will continue over the next several months.

### LSA updates

CoCs were advised by HUD to attempt an upload in HDX2 for both fiscal years (2019 and 2020) by October 30<sup>th</sup>. The next step will be further data correction after HUD uploads error files. Final submission is due by December 31<sup>st</sup>.

### HMIS Sub Committee

The sub-committee met on September 26<sup>th</sup>. The committee reviewed HMIS help desk request data, August MCAH invoices, and results from the HMIS visioning survey. A majority of responders were end users or agency administrators. Most responders thought it was reasonable to get their data entered into HMIS within 48 hours (note that HUD wants to move closer to real time submission). Over 80% of responders thought that data quality was “extremely important”; one interesting note is that only 58% responded that data quality was “extremely important” to getting people housed. The main barrier to entering accurate data was “time”. There was a varying degree of confidence in HMIS reports and publicly available CoC data; Mary Ann noted that there may be less confidence in PIT numbers, in particular the unsheltered numbers. Also of note, the majority of responders said they did not know

how decisions regarding HMIS were made. At the same time, the majority were not interested in becoming more involved in those decisions.

The sub-committee also evaluated the survey for the upcoming HMIS lead evaluation (MCAH). It was noted that the survey was very long and there may be some confusion regarding who should answer which questions. Some changes were made to the survey, which will be sent out within the next 24 hours.